

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 29, 2022

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 20-11058  
Summary Calendar

---

IN THE MATTER OF WILLIAM PAUL BURCH

*Debtor,*

WILLIAM PAUL BURCH,

*Appellant,*

*versus*

OCWEN LOAN SERVICING COMPANY,

*Appellee.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:20-CV-1023

---

Before SOUTHWICK, GRAVES, and COSTA, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 20-11058

William Paul Burch appeals from the district court's without-prejudice dismissal, for failure to pay the filing fee, of his appeal of a judgment of the bankruptcy court for the Northern District of Texas. Burch has filed a motion to remand the matter to the district court, stating that he is now able to pay the filing fee because his financial situation has improved. Because the record does not establish that the district court issued a statement or indicative ruling in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1 and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 12.1, upon which Burch relies, his motion to remand so that he can pay the filing fee is denied. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 12.1; FED. R. CIV. P. 62.1; *cf. Moore v. Tangipahoa Par. Sch. Bd.*, 836 F.3d 503, 504 (5th Cir. 2016). Burch's motion to withdraw the above motion is also denied, as is his motion to file an out-of-time reply to the opposition filed by Ocwen Loan Servicing Company.

Additionally, Burch moves to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) on appeal. To proceed IFP, a litigant must be economically eligible, and his appeal must not be frivolous. *Carson v. Polley*, 689 F.2d 562, 586 (5th Cir. 1982). If the appeal is frivolous, this court will dismiss it. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

Even before Burch's concessions regarding his improved financial situation, we held that he was not financially eligible to proceed IFP on appeal. *See Burch v. Freedom Mortg. Corp. (Matter of Burch)*, 835 F. App'x 741, 749 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 142 S. Ct. 253 (2021), *rehearing denied*, No. 21-5069, 2021 WL 5763451 (U.S. Dec. 6, 2021). Further, Burch's conclusional assertions of error, without cogent argument, effectively fail to identify any error in the dismissal of his bankruptcy appeal for failing to pay the filing fee, and he has not he has not shown a nonfrivolous issue on appeal. *See Carson*, 689 F.2d at 586. Accordingly, the motion to proceed IFP is denied, and the appeal is dismissed as frivolous. *See* § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

No. 20-11058

In prior instances, we have issued sanction warnings and directed Burch to review his pending appeals and withdraw any that were frivolous. *See, e.g., Burch v. Freedom Mortg. Corp.*, 850 F. App'x 292, 294 (5th Cir. 2021); *Matter of Burch*, 835 F. App'x at 749. Because Burch failed to heed our warnings, we previously imposed monetary sanctions. *Burch v. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (Matter of Burch)*, No. 20-11171, 2022 WL 212836, \*1 (5th Cir. Jan. 24, 2022) (unpublished) (\$250 sanction); *Burch v. America's Servicing Company (Matter of Burch)*, No. 20-11074, 2021 WL 5286563, \*1 (5th Cir. Nov. 12, 2021) (unpublished) (\$100 sanction).

Burch, who has paid the above-mentioned monetary sanctions, has repeatedly ignored our admonitions, and we conclude that an additional monetary sanction is warranted. Burch is hereby ordered to pay \$500.00 to the clerk of this court. The clerk of this court and the clerks of all courts subject to the jurisdiction of this court are directed to return to Burch unfiled any submissions he should make until the sanction imposed in this matter is paid in full.

We again warn Burch that additional frivolous or abusive filings in this court, the district court, or the bankruptcy court will result in the imposition of further sanctions. Burch is once again admonished to review any pending appeals and to withdraw any that are frivolous.

MOTIONS DENIED; APPEAL DISMISSED AS FRIVOLOUS; SANCTION IMPOSED; ADDITIONAL SANCTION WARNING ISSUED.